



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1:

Journal Name:	<u>British Microbiology Research Journal</u>
Manuscript Number:	2013_BMRJ_5167
Title of the Manuscript:	Aminoglycoside Resistance Patterns of Certain Gram Negative Uropathogens Recovered from Hospitalized Egyptian Patients
Type of the Article	Research paper

General guideline for Peer Review process is available in this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)

- This form has total 7 parts. Kindly note that you should use all the parts of this review form.



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 2: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	- Line 90: needs to be completed. - Fig. 1 is a three-dimensional graph, with strange cylinders standing in some kind of a box. I don't see any reason to use a 3-D graph here, as it does not add anything to the paper, but it just provides distraction. - Line 310-316: too long sentence; its main idea is not clear; revise it, please; also: references no. 20,29, 35, 36 cited here may be omitted, in my opinion. - Replace "Smith and co-workers" with "Smith <i>et al.</i>" in the whole manuscript, please. - Line 419-420: remove "enter", please. - References: revise it again (remove needless "enter", and various discrepancies, please). - Somebody fluent in English should verify the manuscript due to some language errors.	- Line 90 was completed. - The 3-D graph was replaced by a 2-D one. - The whole paragraph was omitted. - All were replaced. - Corrected. - All references were revised and corrected. - Language errors were revised and corrected.



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		